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Cattle-grazing enterprises have become increasingly popular in the upper Midwest, a region characterized by a favorable grazing season climate and high quality pasture forage production. In the past, most farmers have used a continuous grazing system. This publication examines man-agement-intensive rotational grazing (MIRG) as a strategy increasing the profitability of grazing in general and stocker production in particular.
Interest in Wisconsin has been expanding in grazing stockers as an alternative to a feedlot system. Typically, calves are bought in the spring, grazed through early fall, and then sold to feedlot operations. These calves may be grazed continuously or grazed in a MIRG system where the pasture is split into sub-fields, or paddocks, and the stockers are moved to a new paddock every two to four days. Graziers (producers managing these paddock systems) have found that MIRG leads to more grass production, higher stocking rates, improved seasonal distribution of growth, increased beef production, and increased profits per acre. However, they have also noted that, like any production system, skillful production and marketing management is required for financial success.

This publication examines the economics of the management intensive rotational grazing stocker option. In particular, it looks at both traditional beef and Holstein steers intensively grazed over a 6 -month period. The stocker operation is divided into two enterprises, pasture and the stocker animal, to better estimate the costs and returns in the system. Many assumptions are needed to construct the enclosed enterprise budgets. Therefore, significant space is devoted to carefully describing these assumptions. Tables are also included that show the effect of changes in key variables (price spread and weight gain assumptions, in particular) on the expected profitability of using MIRG in stocker operations.


Table 1. Assumed characteristics of the stocker grazing enterprise

| assumptions | beef calf | Holstein calf | notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stocking rate | 1.4 animals/acre (136 animals/100 acres) | 1.5 animals/acre (150 animals/100 acres) |  |
| dry matter intake | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | Daily dry matter intake (percent of body weight) |
| weight, initial daily gain total gain final | ```500 lb 2.0 lb/day 340 lb (2.0 lb/day x }170\mathrm{ days) 840 lb (500 lb + 340 lb)``` | ```300 lb 2.0 lb/day 340 lb (2.0 lb/day x }170\mathrm{ days) 640 lb (300 lb + 340 lb)``` | Daily weight gain $x$ days grazing Initial weight + total weight gain |


| costs | \$/animal |  | \$/animal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purchase price | 525.00 | (500 lb x \$1.05/lb) | 315.00 | (300 lb x \$1.05/lb) | Initial weight x price/lb |
| cost of pasture consumed | 129.83 | $\begin{aligned} & (1.88 \text { tons } \\ & \times \$ 68.97 / \text { ton } D M) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 102.08 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1.48 tons x } \\ & \$ 68.97 / \text { ton DM) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| labor |  | (\$7.50/hour <br> x 85 hours/season <br> $\div 136$ animals) |  | (\$7.50/hour <br> x 85 hours/season <br> $\div 150$ animals) | Includes time for changing paddocks, inserting implants, marketing, veterinary, and other tasks. |
| veterinary fees \& medicine | 5.00 |  | 5.00 |  | Services include two wormings and a re-vaccination. |
| death loss |  | (\$0.95 average price x 670 lb average wt. x $1 \%$ death rate) |  | (\$0.95 average price x 470 lb average wt. x $2 \%$ death rate) | Assumes that $1 \%$ of the beef calves and $2 \%$ of the Holsteins will die. |
| implants | 3.00 |  | 3.00 |  | 2 implants x \$1.50/implant |
| salts \& minerals | 3.19 |  | 3.19 |  | 2 oz/day x \$0.15/lb x 170 days |
| fly control ear tags | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 2 tags x \$1.60/tag |
| durables <br> interest \& insurance repair \& maintenance depreciation | $\begin{aligned} & 12.97 \\ & 14.69 \\ & 23.13 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.33 \\ & 14.99 \\ & 22.33 \end{aligned}$ |  | Durables include loading chute, fencing ${ }^{\text {a }}$, shed, and water system. |
| interest on borrowed money | 37.66 |  | 25.34 |  | $12 \%$ interest on purchase price for 6 months |
| marketing costs | 8.00 |  | 8.00 |  |  |
| miscellaneous | 0.27 |  | 0.27 |  | Phone, etc. |
| management | 6.62 |  | 6.00 |  |  |
| total costs | 783.59 |  | 534.93 |  |  |
| selling price | 714.00 | (840 lb x \$0.85/lb) | 544.00 | (640 lb x \$0.85/lb) | Final weight x price/lb |
| profit/loss | -69.58 |  | 9.07 |  | Selling price - total costs |

${ }^{\text {a A Assumes a }} 100$-acre parcel surrounded by an exterior fence that is divided into six paddocks using an interior fence. The exterior fence consists of five strands of high-tensile electric wire and 6 -inch $\times 8$-foot treated-wood posts spaced 40 -feet apart. The annual interest and depreciation was calculated at $15 \%$ over 10 years. The interior is fenced with a single strand of 15 -gauge polywire and a plastic post every 12 feet. This fence has an initial cost of $\$ 500.00$ and was depreciated over 5 years, resulting in an $18 \%$ annual charge.

Table 2. Assumed characteristics of the pasture enterprise

| assumptions | expenses (\$/acre) | notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seeding costs | 4.50 | Assumes using annual frost seeding. |
| fertilizer | 46.50 |  |
| equipment gas, repair, \& maintenance interest \& insurance depreciation | $\begin{aligned} & 3.08 \\ & 8.98 \\ & 2.42 \end{aligned}$ | Assumes the operator already owns a 60-horsepower tractor, a 9-foot mower conditioner, a 9-foot hay rake, a 3-point hitch broadcast seeder, and a 10-foot utility trailer. |
| custom round baling | 8.54 (beef) 13.31 (Holstein) | Pasture produces more than stockers will consume. Surplus is custom round baled at $\$ 7.00 / \mathrm{bale}$ ( 935 lb bale). Since beef calves consume more pasture than Holsteins, each will have a different surplus-assume 0.57 ton/acre for beef and 0.9 ton/acre for Holsteins. |
| labor | 6.38 (beef) <br> 7.24 (Holstein) |  |
| land charge | 60.00 | The land charge is equal to the cash rent equivalent. Although $\$ 60$ is almost $10 \%$ below the 1992 state average of $\$ 66.12^{*}$, assumed that less-than-average quality land is being it is used for grazing. <br> *Wisconsin Dept. of Agriculture, Statistical Dept. 1994 p. 12. |
| property taxes | 22.00 |  |
| management costs | 9.00 |  |
| total costs | 171.42 (beef) <br> 176.99 (Holstein) |  |
| value of hay | 215.88 | Assumes yields of 3.13 tons dry matter/acre at a value of $\$ 68.97 / t o n$. The surplus hay is worth $\$ 39.31 /$ acre (beef) and \$62.76/acre (Holstein). |
| profit/loss | 44.46 (beef) <br> 38.89 (Holstein) | Value of hay - total costs |

Table 3. Combined profits/losses from stocker grazing and pasture enterprises

| assumptions | -beef calf- |  | Holst | If | notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cost/animal | cost/acre | cost/animal | cost/acre |  |
| profit/loss |  |  |  |  |  |
| stocker enterprise | -69.58 | $-94.62^{\text {a }}$ | 9.07 | $13.61^{\text {a }}$ | data from table 1 |
| pasture enterprise | $32.69{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 44.46 | $25.93{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 38.89 | data from table 2 |
| total profit/loss | -36.89 | -50.18 | 35.00 | 52.50 |  |
| return to management ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| stocker enterprise | -58.27 | $-79.25^{\text {a }}$ | 19.35 | $29.02^{\text {a }}$ | profit/loss - (stocker labor <br> + management charges) |
| pasture enterprise | $88.11^{\text {a }}$ | 119.83 | $76.75{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 115.13 | profit/loss - (grazing labor <br> + management <br> + land charges) |
| total return to management | 29.84 | 40.58 | 96.10 | 144.15 | stocker return <br> + pasture return |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Tables 1 and 2 calculate expenses using different units (\$/animal vs. \$/acre). To convert units, use the following equations:
cost/acre $=$ cost/animal (table 1) $\times$ ( 136 beef calves/100 acres OR 150 Holstein calves/100 acres)
cost/animal $=$ cost/acre (table 2) $\times$ ( 100 acres/136 beef calves OR 100 acres/150 Holstein calves)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Figures in this section exclude management, labor, and land charges from production costs.

## How factors change profits

Many factors may affect the performance, inputs, costs, and profitability of the cattle enterprise including daily rate of gain, price spread, death loss, and grain feeding. This section examines the impacts of some of these factors by calculating the breakeven points (where returns equal costs) and by comparing profits over a range of these variables.


## Purchase weight

Purchase weight has a major impact on profitability, causing the greatest differences between the beef and Holstein budgets in table 1. Farmers had suggested the average beef calf is purchased at 500 lb while Holsteins are normally purchased at about 300 lb . Using a purchase price of $\$ 1.05 / \mathrm{lb}$, a beef calf costs $\$ 525$ and a Holstein calf costs $\$ 315$. The price difference in turn affects other expenses in the budget: since more money is borrowed, interest is about $\$ 12$ more per beef calf than for a Holstein calf (\$37.66 vs. \$25.34); also, larger beef calves consume \$27 more forage than smaller Holstein calves (\$129.83 vs. \$102.08). Thus, the difference in animal weight alone accounts for approximately $\$ 40$ worth of the difference in profit between beef and Holstein calves.

## Weight gain

Beef calves. In the original budget, the daily rate of gain for beef calves equals $2.0 \mathrm{lb} /$ day with a net loss of $\$ 36.90 /$ animal (see table 4). Keeping all other variables constant, the break-even point for raising beef calves is just over $2.25 \mathrm{lb} /$ day. At a rate of gain of $1 \mathrm{lb} /$ day, graziers could lose \$181.38/animal; but with a rate of gain of $2.75 \mathrm{lb} /$ day graziers have the ability to make \$71.46/animal. Keep in mind that the price will likely decrease as sales weights increase, somewhat reducing the benefits. However, using the numbers in this example, each $0.1 \mathrm{lb} /$ day gain is worth about \$14.40/animal.

Table 4. Profit for various rates of gain/day for beef calves

| gain/ <br> day (lb) | weight <br> gained (lb) | sale <br> weight (lb) | profft <br> (\$/animal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 170 | 670 | -181.38 |
| 1.25 | 213 | 713 | -145.26 |
| 1.50 | 255 | 755 | -109.14 |
| 1.75 | 298 | 798 | -73.02 |
| 2.00 | 340 | 840 | -36.90 |
| 2.25 | 383 | 883 | -0.78 |
| 2.50 | 425 | 925 | 35.34 |
| 2.75 | 468 | 968 | 71.46 |

Holstein calves. It is assumed in the original budget that Holsteins will gain $2 \mathrm{lb} /$ day with a net return of \$35.00/animal (see table 5). Keeping all other variables constant, the breakeven point for raising Holsteins is just over $1.75 \mathrm{lb} /$ day. At a pound a day rate of gain, graziers could lose $\$ 109.48 /$ animal but with a rate of gain of $2.75 \mathrm{lb} /$ day graziers have the ability to make $\$ 143.36 /$ animal. Each $0.1 \mathrm{lb} /$ day gain is worth about \$14.40/animal in this example.

Price spread (difference
between purchase price and sale price)
Beef calves. Beef calves are assumed to be purchased for $\$ 1.05 / \mathrm{lb}$ and sold for $\$ 0.85 / \mathrm{lb}$, a $\$ 0.20$ price spread, resulting in a loss of $\$ 36.90 /$ animal. The break-even selling price for beef calves is just under $\$ 0.89 / \mathrm{lb}$, a $\$ 0.16$ price spread (see table 6). With a selling price of $\$ 0.45 / \mathrm{lb}$, a $\$ 0.60$ price spread, producers could lose \$372.90/animal. Conversely, they could gain \$89.10/animal with a $\$ 1.00 / \mathrm{lb}$ selling price, a $\$ 0.05$ price spread. Every $\$ 0.01 / \mathrm{lb}$ increase in price spread is worth close to $\$ 8.50 /$ animal using the numbers in this example.

Table 5. Profit for various rates of gain/day for Holstein calves

| gain/ <br> day (lb) | weight <br> gained (b) | sale <br> weight (lb) | profit <br> (\$/animal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 170 | 470 | -109.48 |
| 1.25 | 213 | 513 | -73.36 |
| 1.50 | 255 | 555 | -37.24 |
| 1.75 | 298 | 598 | -1.12 |
| 2.00 | 340 | 640 | 35.00 |
| 2.25 | 383 | 683 | 71.12 |
| 2.50 | 425 | 725 | 107.24 |
| 2.75 | 468 | 768 | 143.36 |

Table 6. Profit for various selling prices and price spreads for a beef calf purchased at $\$ 1.05 / \mathrm{lb}$

| selling <br> price (\$/lb) | spread <br> (\$/lb) | profit <br> (\$/animal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.45 | 0.60 | -372.90 |
| 0.50 | 0.55 | -330.90 |
| 0.55 | 0.50 | -288.90 |
| 0.60 | 0.45 | -246.90 |
| 0.65 | 0.40 | -204.90 |
| 0.70 | 0.35 | -162.90 |
| 0.75 | 0.30 | -120.90 |
| 0.80 | 0.25 | -78.90 |
| 0.85 | 0.20 | -36.90 |
| 0.90 | 0.15 | 5.10 |
| 0.95 | 0.10 | 47.10 |
| 1.00 | 0.05 | 89.10 |

Holstein calves. The base assumptions made in the Holstein enterprise include a purchase price of $\$ 1.05 / \mathrm{lb}$ and a sales price of $\$ 0.85 / \mathrm{lb}$, a $\$ 0.20$ price spread. They make $\$ 35.00 /$ animal at that point. The break-even selling price for Holsteins is just under \$0.80/lb, a $\$ 0.26$ price spread (see table 7). With a selling price of $\$ 0.45 / \mathrm{lb}$, a $\$ 0.60$ price spread, graziers could lose \$221.00/animal, but a profit of \$131.00/animal could be realized with a price of $\$ 1.00 / \mathrm{lb}$, a $\$ 0.05$ price spread. Every $\$ 0.01 / \mathrm{lb}$ increase in price spread results in an extra \$6.40/animal in our example.


Table 7. Profit for various selling prices and price spreads for a Holstein purchased at $\$ 1.05 / \mathrm{lb}$

| selling <br> price (\$/lb) | spread <br> (\$/lb) | profit <br> (\$/animal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.45 | 0.60 | -221.00 |
| 0.50 | 0.55 | -189.00 |
| 0.55 | 0.50 | -157.00 |
| 0.60 | 0.45 | -125.00 |
| 0.65 | 0.40 | -93.00 |
| 0.70 | 0.35 | -61.00 |
| 0.75 | 0.30 | -29.00 |
| 0.80 | 0.25 | 3.00 |
| 0.85 | 0.20 | 35.00 |
| 0.90 | 0.15 | 67.00 |
| 0.95 | 0.10 | 99.00 |
| 1.00 | 0.05 | 131.00 |

## Weight gain and price spread combined

Tables 8 and 9 contain break-even purchase prices calculated at various rates of daily gains and selling prices for beef and Holstein stockers. The numbers in the top row represent expected selling prices. The far left column includes the range of expected rates of gain. To use these tables, find the expected selling price and rate of gain combination. Reading down and across the tables, you will find the break-even purchase price.

For example, assume you are going to buy beef cattle intending to sell them for \$0.70/lb this fall with a rate of gain of $2.25 \mathrm{lb} /$ day. Under this situation you can pay up to $\$ 0.80 / \mathrm{lb}$ and still have a profit with these cattle (from table 8). Using a similar example for Holsteins (table 9), suppose you purchase them with the expectation that you will sell them for $\$ 0.80 / \mathrm{lb}$ and that they will gain $2 \mathrm{lb} /$ day. You could pay up to $\$ 1.07 / \mathrm{lb}$ and still break even. Of course, the profit would equal zero at the break-even point.
Further calculations would be needed to figure how much less would need to be paid to make a targeted profit level, e.g., $\$ 100 /$ animal. A word of caution, these break-evens are calculated for the situation described in this publication; they may or may not fit your situation.

Table 8. Break-even purchase prices for beef calves at various rates of gain and selling prices

| rate of gain (lb/day) | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70 | $\begin{gathered} \text { pric } \\ 0.80 \end{gathered}$ | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - break-even purchase price (\$/lb) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0.77 | 0.90 | 1.03 |
| 1.25 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.84 | 0.98 | 1.11 |
| 1.50 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 0.92 | 1.06 | 1.20 |
| 1.75 | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.84 | 0.99 | 1.14 | 1.29 |
| 2.00 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 1.06 | 1.22 | 1.38 |
| 2.25 | 0.46 | 0.63 | 0.80 | 0.97 | 1.13 | 1.30 | 1.47 |
| 2.50 | 0.50 | 0.68 | 0.85 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 1.38 | 1.56 |
| 2.75 | 0.54 | 0.73 | 0.91 | 1.10 | 1.28 | 1.46 | 1.65 |

Table 9. Break-even purchase prices for Holstein calves at various rates of gain and selling prices

| rate of gain (lb/day) | 0.50 | 0.60 | selling price (\$/b) |  |  | 1.00 | 1.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | break-even purchase price (\$/lb) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.93 | 1.08 |
| 1.25 | 0.25 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 1.07 | 1.23 |
| 1.50 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 0.67 | 0.85 | 1.03 | 1.20 | 1.38 |
| 1.75 | 0.39 | 0.58 | 0.77 | 0.96 | 1.15 | 1.34 | 1.53 |
| 2.00 | 0.46 | 0.69 | 0.86 | 1.07 | 1.27 | 1.47 | 1.67 |
| 2.25 | 0.52 | 0.74 | 0.96 | 1.17 | 1.39 | 1.61 | 1.82 |
| 2.50 | 0.59 | 0.82 | 1.05 | 1.28 | 1.51 | 1.74 | 1.97 |
| 2.75 | 0.66 | 0.90 | 1.15 | 1.39 | 1.63 | 1.88 | 2.12 |



## ConclusionsWhich factors are most important?

T-his publication is designed to provide a ballpark estimate of the profitability of running a stocker operation using beef or Holstein steers in a management-intensive rotational grazing (MIRG) system. The assumptions used in this publication are our best estimate of what inputs are needed, what they cost, and how they are tied to the production levels (rate of gain).

Table 10. Impacts on profit of $10 \%$ increases in variables

| variable | breed | change in profit <br> (\$/animal) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| purchase weight | beef | 150.04 |
|  | Holstein | 99.62 |
| rate of daily gain | beef | 29.85 |
|  | Holstein | 27.14 |
| selling price | beef | 63.91 |
|  | Holstein | 47.60 |
| price spread | beef | 11.28 |
|  | Holstein | 15.40 |

Given the assumptions made in the publication, incomes range from a net loss of $\$ 36.89 /$ beef calf to a profit of $\$ 35.00 /$ Holstein. If we add the $\$ 18 /$ acre management charge to the profits, the returns to the operator's management would increase profits to $\$ 29.84$ and \$96.10/animal, respectively. Running 100 head of stocker animals would yield about \$3,000-10,000/season.
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